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Introduction

- The shortest laser pulses: a duration of a few optical cycles.

![Autocorrelation trace](image)

**Fig. 4.** Measured and reconstructed IAC of the pulse. A phase-retrieval algorithm reveals a pulse width of 5 fs. The reconstructed IAC fits perfectly and is not distinguishable. The reconstructed electric field is displayed in the inset. SH, second harmonic.

- Autocorrelation trace, R. Ell et al., Optics Letters 26 (6), 373 (2001).

- Pulse duration down to a few fs. Ex. above: 5 fs = 5 × 10^{-15}s.

- How to model the propagation of such pulses?
Solitary wave vs envelope solitons

- Envelope soliton: the usual optical soliton in the ps range
  
  Pulse duration \( L \gg \lambda \) wavelength

  Typical model: NonLinear Schrödinger equation (NLS)

- It is a soliton if it propagates without deformation on \( D \gg L \), due to nonlinearity.

  In linear regime: spread out by dispersion.

- Solitary wave soliton: the hydrodynamical soliton

  A single oscillation

  Typical model: Korteweg-de Vries equation (KdV)

- Few-cycle optical solitons: \( L \sim \lambda \)

  The slowly varying envelope approximation is not valid
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- **Envelope soliton**: the usual optical soliton in the ps range
  - Pulse duration $L \gg \lambda$ wavelength
  - Typical model: NonLinear Schrödinger equation (NLS)

- **Solitary wave soliton**: the hydrodynamical soliton
  - A single oscillation
  - Typical model: Korteweg-de Vries equation (KdV)

- **Few-cycle optical solitons**: $L \sim \lambda$
  - The slowly varying envelope approximation is not valid
  - Generalized NLS equation
  - We seek a different approach based on KdV-type models
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- We assume that the transparency range is very large:
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A transparent medium

- A simple model: A two-component medium, each component is described by a two-level model

We assume that the transparency range is very large:
\[ \omega_1 \ll (1/\tau_p) \ll \omega_2 \]
(\(\tau_p\): FCP duration).

- In a first stage, the two components are treated separately
- UV transition only, with \((1/\tau_p) \ll \omega_2\)

\[ \frac{1}{\tau_p} \quad \omega_2 \]

\[ \frac{1}{\tau_p} \quad \omega_2 \]

\[ \begin{align*}
\omega_1 & \ll (1/\tau_p) \ll \omega_2 \\
(\tau_p &: \text{FCP duration})
\end{align*} \]

\[ \Rightarrow \] Long-wave approximation
In a first stage, the two components are treated separately

UV transition only, with \( (1/\tau_{p}) \ll \omega_{2} \)

\[
\frac{\partial E}{\partial \zeta} = \frac{1}{6} \frac{d^3 k}{d\omega^3} \bigg|_{\omega=0} \frac{\partial^3 E}{\partial \tau^3} - \frac{6\pi}{nc} \chi^{(3)}(\omega;\omega,\omega,-\omega) \bigg|_{\omega=0} \frac{\partial E^3}{\partial \tau}
\]

A transparent medium

- In a first stage, the two components are treated separately
- IR transition only, with $\omega_1 \ll (1/\tau_p)$
  $\implies$ Short-wave approximation

- sine-Gordon (sG) equation: $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z \partial t} = c_1 \sin \psi$

  with $c_1 = \frac{w_\infty}{w_r}$: normalized initial population difference

  and $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \frac{E}{E_r}$: normalized electric field

A transparent medium

- In a first stage, the two components are treated separately
- IR transition only, with $\omega_1 \ll \frac{1}{\tau_p}$
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In a first stage, the two components are treated separately

IR transition only, with $\omega_1 \ll \left(1/\tau_p\right)$
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$\Rightarrow$ Short-wave approximation

sine-Gordon (sG) equation: $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z \partial t} = c_1 \sin \psi$

with $c_1 = \frac{w_\infty}{w_r}$: normalized initial population difference

and $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \frac{E}{E_r}$: normalized electric field

A transparent medium

- Then the two approximations are brought together to yield a general model:

  The mKdV-sG equation

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z \partial t} + c_1 \sin \psi + c_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right)^3 + c_3 \frac{\partial^4 \psi}{\partial t^4} = 0
\]

- \[\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + c_1 \sin \int^t u + c_2 \frac{\partial u^3}{\partial t} + c_3 \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial t^3} = 0\]

with \( u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \frac{E}{E_r} \): normalized electric field

- Integrable by inverse scattering transform in some cases:
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A transparent medium

Then the two approximations are brought together to yield a general model:

The mKdV-sG equation

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + c_2 \frac{\partial u^3}{\partial t} + c_3 \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial t^3} = 0
\]

with \( u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \frac{E}{E_r} \): normalized electric field

Integrable by inverse scattering transform in some cases: mKdV,
A transparent medium

Then the two approximations are brought together to yield a general model:

The mKdV-sG equation

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + c_1 \sin \int_t^t u = 0
\]

with \( u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \frac{E}{E_r} \): normalized electric field

Integrable by inverse scattering transform in some cases: mKdV, sG,
A transparent medium

Then the two approximations are brought together to yield a general model:

The mKdV-sG equation

\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + c_1 \sin \int_0^t u + c_2 \frac{\partial u^3}{\partial t} + 2c_2 \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial t^3} = 0 \]

with \( u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \frac{E}{E_r} \): normalized electric field

Integrable by inverse scattering transform in some cases: mKdV, sG, and \( c_3 = 2c_2 \).
A few cycle soliton:
- Not spread out by dispersion
- Stable
- However, oscillates (breather)
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The evolution of the electric field $E$:

In (1+1) dimensions:

The modified Korteweg-de Vries (mKdV) equation

$$\partial_\zeta E + \beta \partial_\tau E^3 + \gamma \partial_\tau E^3 = 0$$

Nonlinear coefficient $\gamma = \frac{1}{2nc} \chi^{(3)}$,

Dispersion parameter $\beta = \frac{(-n'')}{2c}$,
Waveguide description

- The evolution of the electric field $E$:
  We generalize to (2+1) dimensions:
  - The cubic generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (CGKP) equation
    \[
    \partial_\zeta E + \beta \partial_\tau^3 E + \gamma \partial_\tau E^3 - \frac{V}{2} \int^\tau \partial_\xi^2 E d\tau' = 0
    \]
  - Nonlinear coefficient $\gamma = \frac{1}{2nc} \chi^{(3)}$,
  - Dispersion parameter $\beta = \frac{(-n'')}{2c}$,
  - Linear group velocity: $V = \frac{c}{n}$.
Waveguide description

- The evolution of the electric field $E$:

  A waveguide:

  $\begin{array}{c}
  \text{cladding} & g & \text{core} & \text{cladding} \\
  \end{array}$

  $x$

  $\rightarrow$ The cubic generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (CGKP) equation

  $$\partial_\zeta E + \beta_\alpha \partial_\tau^3 E + \gamma_\alpha \partial_\tau E^3 - \frac{V_\alpha}{2} \int^\tau \partial_\xi^2 E d\tau' = 0$$

  with $\alpha = g$ in the core and $\alpha = c$ in the cladding.

- Nonlinear coefficient $\gamma_\alpha = \frac{1}{2n_\alpha c} \chi^{(3)}_\alpha$,

- Dispersion parameter $\beta_\alpha = \frac{(-n''_\alpha)}{2n_\alpha}$,

- Linear group velocity: $V_\alpha = \frac{c}{n_\alpha}$.
Waveguide description

- The evolution of the electric field $E$:

A waveguide:

```
  c  g  c  
cladding  core  cladding
```

$\rightarrow$ The cubic generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (CGKP) equation

$$\partial_\zeta E + \beta_\alpha \partial_\tau E^3 + \gamma_\alpha \partial_\tau E^3 + \frac{1}{V_\alpha} \partial_\tau E - \frac{V_\alpha}{2} \int_\tau^\tau \partial_\xi^2 E d\tau' = 0$$

with $\alpha = g$ in the core and $\alpha = c$ in the cladding.

Velocities: $V_g < V_c$

- Nonlinear coefficient $\gamma_\alpha = \frac{1}{2n_\alpha c} \chi^{(3)}_\alpha$,

Dispersion parameter $\beta_\alpha = \frac{(-n''_\alpha)}{2c}$,

Linear group velocity: $V_\alpha = \frac{c}{n_\alpha}$. 

---
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Waveguide description

- The evolution of the electric field $E$:

  A waveguide:

  \[ \text{cladding} \quad g \quad \text{core} \quad \text{cladding} \]

  - The cubic generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (CGKP) equation
    In dimensionless form:
    \[
    \partial_z u = A_\alpha \partial_t^3 u + B_\alpha \partial_t u^3 + v_\alpha \partial_t u + \frac{W_\alpha}{2} \int^t \partial_x^2 u dt' 
    \]
    with $\alpha = g$ in the core and $\alpha = c$ in the cladding.
    Relative velocities: $v_g > v_c$

- Nonlinear coefficient $\gamma_\alpha = \frac{1}{2n_\alpha c} \chi^{(3)}_\alpha$
- Dispersion parameter $\beta_\alpha = \frac{(-n_\alpha''\alpha)}{2c}$
- Linear group velocity: $V_\alpha = \frac{c}{n_\alpha}$
The dimensionless CGKP equation

$$\partial_z u = A_\alpha \partial_t^3 u + B_\alpha \partial_t u^3 + v_\alpha \partial_t u + \frac{W_\alpha}{2} \int^t \partial_x^2 u dt'$$

We linearize and seek for solutions of the form

$$u = f(x) \exp[i(\omega t - k_z z)].$$

Waveguide dispersion relation: \(\tan(k_x a) = \frac{\kappa}{k_x}\)

Mode profiles of the form

$$f = R \cos(k_x x) \quad \text{in the core}$$
$$f = Ce^{-\kappa|x|} \quad \text{in the cladding}$$
The dimensionless CGKP equation

$$\partial_z u = A_\alpha \partial_t^3 u + v_\alpha \partial_t u + \frac{W_\alpha}{2} \int^t \partial_x^2 u dt'$$

We linearize and seek for solutions of the form

$$u = f(x) \exp[i(\omega t - k_z z)].$$

Material dispersion relation:

$$k_{x,\alpha}^2 = \frac{2\omega}{V_\alpha} \left( A_\alpha \omega^3 - k_z - \omega v_\alpha \right)$$

Waveguide dispersion relation:

$$\tan(k_x a) = \frac{\kappa}{k_x}$$

Mode profiles of the form

$$f = R \cos(k_x x) \quad \text{in the core}$$

$$f = Ce^{-\kappa|x|} \quad \text{in the cladding}$$
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Linear guided modes

- The dimensionless CGKP equation

\[
\partial_z u = A_\alpha \partial_t^3 u + v_\alpha \partial_t u + \frac{W_\alpha}{2} \int^t \partial_x^2 u dt'
\]

- We linearize and seek for solutions of the form

\[u = f(x) \exp[i(\omega t - k_z z)].\]

- Waveguide dispersion relation: \(\tan(k_x a) = \frac{\kappa}{k_x}\)

- Mode profiles of the form

\[
\begin{cases} 
  f = R \cos(k_x x) & \text{in the core} \\
  f = Ce^{-\kappa |x|} & \text{in the cladding}
\end{cases}
\]

- Guiding condition: \(A_2 \omega^3 - \omega v_2 < k_z < A_1 \omega^3\)

As in the usual symmetric dielectric planar waveguide.
Nonlinear propagation in linear guide

- We solve the CGKP equation starting from

\[ u(x,t,z = 0) = A \cos(\omega t)f(x)e^{-t^2/w^2}, \]

- \( f(x) = \begin{cases} 
\cos(k_xx), & \text{for } |x| \leq a, \\
Ce^{-\kappa|x|}, & \text{for } |x| > a,
\end{cases} \) is a linear mode profile

- Normalized coefficients \( A_1 = A_2 = B_1 = B_2 = W_1 = W_2 = 1, \)
  \[ \rightarrow \] we assume that
  - Temporal compression occurs
  - Spatial defocusing occurs, \( \text{(else it collapses!)} \)
  - Dispersion and nonlinearity are identical in core and cladding.
Guided wave profiles

The pulse is less confined in nonlinear (blue, and red) than in linear (pink and cyan) regime.

(Normalized so that the total power is 1. \( v_2 = 3, \ w = 2. \))
Nonlinearity may reduce confinement. Indeed:

- Waveguiding is due to total internal reflection. When the wave propagates too fast in the cladding, it cannot match the field oscillations in the core.
- In the few-cycle regime, not only linear, but also nonlinear velocity (large and positive here).
- The nonlinear velocity is larger in the core and creates a nonlinear variation of the index.
- It may compensate the linear part, and reduce the confinement.
- Important in very narrow guides, where linear confinement itself is low.
Nonlinear waveguide

- Wave guided and confined by using nonlinear velocity: a higher nonlinear coefficient in the cladding than that in the core.

Guided profiles of the nonlinear waveguide. Normalized so that the total power is 1.
Two-cycle soliton of the nonlinear waveguide

\[ B_2 - B_1 = 1. \]
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2D waveguiding structure: two cores 1 and 2 and dielectric cladding

The generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (GKP) equation (dimensionless)

\[ \partial_z u = A_\alpha \partial_t^3 u + B_\alpha \partial_t u^3 + V_\alpha \partial_t u + \frac{w_\alpha}{2} \int^t \partial_x^2 u dt, \]

\[ \alpha = g \text{ in the cores 1 and 2, } \alpha = c \text{ in the cladding.} \]
Individual waveguides, in the linearized model \((B_\alpha = 0)\):
The field is \(u = R f_j(x) e^{i(\omega t - \beta z)} = R f_j(x) e^{i\varphi}\),
\(f_j, (j = 1, 2)\): guided mode profile, \(R\): amplitude.

We seek for a solution as
\[u = R(z)f_1(x)e^{i\varphi} + S(z)f_2(x)e^{i\varphi},\]
i.e., two interacting modes.

We report it into the GKP equation and get
\[\partial_z R f_1 + \partial_z S f_2 = 0\]
\[\partial_z R f_1 + \partial_z S f_2 = \frac{i\omega g}{2\omega} (K_c - K_g) S f_2\]
and so on.

Multiplying this by \(f_1\) and integrating over all \(x\)
removes the \(x\)-dependency of \(f_1, f_2\) and we get
\[\partial_z R = \partial_z S = \frac{i\omega g}{2\omega} \frac{(K_c - K_g) I_2}{1 + I_1} (R + S),\]

Involve overlap integrals
\[I_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1 f_2 \, dx,\]
\[I_2 = \int_{g_1} f_1 f_2 \, dx = \int_{g_2} f_1 f_2 \, dx\]
("\(\int_{g_j} \cdot \, dx\)" is the integral over the core \(j = 1\) or \(2\).)
Individual waveguides, in the linearized model \((B_\alpha = 0)\):
The field is \(u = R f_j(x) e^{i(\omega t - \beta z)} = R f_j(x) e^{i\varphi}\),
\(f_j, \ (j = 1, 2)\): guided mode profile, \(R\): amplitude.

We seek for a solution as

\[
u = R(z)f_1(x)e^{i\varphi} + S(z)f_2(x)e^{i\varphi},
\]
i.e., two interacting modes.

We report it into the GKP equation and get
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Multiplying this by \(f_1\) and integrating over all \(x\) removes the \(x\)-dependency of \(f_1, f_2\) and we get
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\]

Involve overlap integrals \(l_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1 f_2 dx, \ l_2 = \int_{g_1} f_1 f_2 dx = \int_{g_2} f_1 f_2 dx\)
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We seek for a solution as

\[
u = R(z)f_1(x)e^{i\varphi} + S(z)f_2(x)e^{i\varphi},
\]

i.e., two interacting modes.

We report it into the GKP equation and get

- in the cladding \(\partial_z Rf_1 + \partial_z Sf_2 = 0\)
- in guide 1 \(\partial_z Rf_1 + \partial_z Sf_2 = \frac{i\omega_g}{2\omega} (K_c - K_g) Sf_2\)

and so on.

Multiplying this by \(f_1\) and integrating over all \(x\) removes the \(x\)-dependency of \(f_1, f_2\) and we get

\[
\partial_z R = \partial_z S = \frac{i\omega_g}{2\omega} \frac{(K_c - K_g) l_2}{1 + l_1} (R + S),
\]

Involve overlap integrals \(l_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1 f_2 \, dx\), \(l_2 = \int_{g_1} f_1 f_2 \, dx = \int_{g_2} f_1 f_2 \, dx\) ("\(\int_{g_j} \cdot dx\)" is the integral over the core \(j = 1\) or \(2\).)
Individual waveguides, in the linearized model ($B_\alpha = 0$):
The field is $u = Rf_j(x)e^{i(\omega t - \beta z)} = Rf_j(x)e^{i\varphi}$,
$f_j$, ($j = 1, 2$): guided mode profile, $R$: amplitude.

We seek for a solution as

$$u = R(z)f_1(x)e^{i\varphi} + S(z)f_2(x)e^{i\varphi},$$
i.e., two interacting modes.

We report it into the GKP equation and get

- in the cladding, $\partial_z R f_1 + \partial_z S f_2 = 0$
- in guide 1, $\partial_z R f_1 + \partial_z S f_2 = \frac{i\omega g}{2\omega} (K_c - K_g) S f_2$
  and so on.

Multiplying this by $f_1$ and integrating over all $x$
removes the $x$-dependency of $f_1$, $f_2$ and we get

$$\partial_z R = \partial_z S = \frac{i\omega g}{2\omega} \frac{(K_c - K_g) l_2}{1 + l_1} (R + S),$$

Involve overlap integrals $l_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1 f_2 dx$, $l_2 = \int_{g_1} f_1 f_2 dx = \int_{g_2} f_1 f_2 dx$

("$\int_{g_j} \cdot dx$" is the integral over the core $j = 1$ or 2.)
Individual waveguides, in the linearized model ($B_\alpha = 0$):
The field is $u = Rf_j(x)e^{i(\omega t - \beta z)} = Rf_j(x)e^{i\varphi}$, $f_j$, $(j = 1, 2)$: guided mode profile, $R$: amplitude.

We seek for a solution as

$$u = R(z)f_1(x)e^{i\varphi} + S(z)f_2(x)e^{i\varphi},$$

i.e., two interacting modes.

We report it into the GKP equation and get

- in the cladding $\partial_z Rf_1 + \partial_z Sf_2 = 0$
- in guide 1 $\partial_z Rf_1 + \partial_z Sf_2 = \frac{iw_g}{2\omega} (K_c - K_g) Sf_2$

and so on.

Multiplying this by $f_1$ and integrating over all $x$ removes the $x$-dependency of $f_1$, $f_2$ and we get

$$\partial_z R = \partial_z S = \frac{iw_g}{2\omega} \frac{(K_c - K_g) l_2}{1 + l_1} (R + S),$$

Involve overlap integrals $l_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1 f_2 dx$, $l_2 = \int_{g_1} f_1 f_2 dx = \int_{g_2} f_1 f_2 dx$

(“$\int_{g_j} \cdot dx$” is the integral over the core $j = 1$ or 2.)
We seek for a solution as

\[
u = R(z)f_1(x)e^{i\varphi} + S(z)f_2(x)e^{i\varphi},
\]
i.e., two interacting modes.

Multiplying this by \(f_1\) and integrating over all \(x\) removes the \(x\)-dependency of \(f_1, f_2\) and we get

\[
\partial_z R = \partial_z S = \frac{i\omega_g (K_c - K_g) I_2}{2\omega (1 + I_1)} (R + S),
\]

The few-cycle pulse is expanded as a Fourier integral of such modes,

\[
u_1 = \int Re^{i\varphi} d\omega, \quad \nu_2 = \int Se^{i\varphi} d\omega.
\]

We report \(\partial_z R\) and \(\partial_z S\) into \(\partial_z \nu_1\), and get the linear coupling terms.

Finally, we get the system of two coupled modified Korteweg-de Vries (mKdV) equations

\[
\partial_z \nu_1 = A\partial_t^3 \nu_1 + B\partial_t \nu_1^3 + V\partial_t \nu_1 + C\partial_t \nu_2 + D\partial_t^3 \nu_2,
\]

\[
\partial_z \nu_2 = A\partial_t^3 \nu_2 + B\partial_t \nu_2^3 + V\partial_t \nu_2 + C\partial_t \nu_1 + D\partial_t^3 \nu_1.
\]
We seek for a solution as

\[ u = R(z)f_1(x)e^{i\varphi} + S(z)f_2(x)e^{i\varphi}, \]

i.e., two interacting modes.

Multiplying this by \( f_1 \) and integrating over all \( x \) removes the \( x \)-dependency of \( f_1, f_2 \) and we get

\[ \partial_z R = \partial_z S = \frac{iw_g (K_c - K_g) I_2}{2\omega} \frac{1}{1 + l_1} (R + S), \]

The few-cycle pulse is expanded as a Fourier integral of such modes,

\[ u_1 = \int Re^{i\varphi}d\omega, \quad u_2 = \int Se^{i\varphi}d\omega. \]

We report \( \partial_z R \) and \( \partial_z S \) into \( \partial_z u_1 \), and get the linear coupling terms.

Finally, we get the system of two coupled modified Korteweg-de Vries (mKdV) equations

\[ \partial_z u_1 = A\partial_t^3 u_1 + B\partial_t u_1^3 + V\partial_t u_1 + C\partial_t u_2 + D\partial_t^3 u_2, \]

\[ \partial_z u_2 = A\partial_t^3 u_2 + B\partial_t u_2^3 + V\partial_t u_2 + C\partial_t u_1 + D\partial_t^3 u_1. \]
We seek for a solution as

\[ u = R(z)f_1(x)e^{i\varphi} + S(z)f_2(x)e^{i\varphi}, \]

i.e., two interacting modes.

Multiplying this by \( f_1 \) and integrating over all \( x \) removes the \( x \)-dependency of \( f_1, f_2 \) and we get

\[ \partial_z R = \partial_z S = \frac{i\omega g}{2\omega} \frac{(K_c - K_g) I_2}{1 + I_1} (R + S), \]

The few-cycle pulse is expanded as a Fourier integral of such modes,

\[ u_1 = \int Re^{i\varphi} d\omega, \quad u_2 = \int Se^{i\varphi} d\omega. \]

We report \( \partial_z R \) and \( \partial_z S \) into \( \partial_z u_1 \),

and get the linear coupling terms.

Finally, we get the system of two coupled modified Korteweg-de Vries (mKdV) equations

\[ \partial_z u_1 = A\partial_t^3 u_1 + B\partial_t u_1^3 + V\partial_t u_1 + C\partial_t u_2 + D\partial_t^3 u_2, \]
\[ \partial_z u_2 = A\partial_t^3 u_2 + B\partial_t u_2^3 + V\partial_t u_2 + C\partial_t u_1 + D\partial_t^3 u_1. \]
We seek for a solution as
\[ u = R(z)f_1(x)e^{i\varphi} + S(z)f_2(x)e^{i\varphi}, \]
i.e., two interacting modes.

Multiplying this by \( f_1 \) and integrating over all \( x \) removes the \( x \)-dependency of \( f_1, f_2 \) and we get
\[ \partial_z R = \partial_z S = \frac{iw_g}{2\omega} \frac{(K_c - K_g) l_2}{1 + l_1} (R + S), \]

The few-cycle pulse is expanded as a Fourier integral of such modes,
\[ u_1 = \int Re^{i\varphi} d\omega, \quad u_2 = \int Se^{i\varphi} d\omega. \]
We report \( \partial_z R \) and \( \partial_z S \) into \( \partial_z u_1 \), and get the linear coupling terms.

Finally, we get the system of two coupled modified Korteweg-de Vries (mKdV) equations
\[ \partial_z u_1 = A\partial_t^3 u_1 + B\partial_t u_1^3 + V\partial_t u_1 + C\partial_t u_2 + D\partial_t^3 u_2, \]
\[ \partial_z u_2 = A\partial_t^3 u_2 + B\partial_t u_2^3 + V\partial_t u_2 + C\partial_t u_1 + D\partial_t^3 u_1, \]
An analogous procedure, treating the nonlinear term as a perturbation, yields a evolution equation

$$\partial_z u_1 = \mathcal{L}(u_1) + \partial_t \left[ I_3 u_1^3 + I_4 (3u_1^2 u_2 + u_2^3) \right].$$

The integrals involved are $I_3 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} B_{\alpha} f_1^4 dx$, $I_4 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} B_{\alpha} f_1^3 f_2 dx$.

The complete final system is

$$\begin{align*}
\partial_z u_1 &= A \partial_t^3 u_1 + B \partial_t u_1^3 + V \partial_t u_1 \\
&\quad + C \partial_t u_2 + D \partial_t^3 u_2 + E \partial_t (3u_1^2 u_2 + u_2^3) \\
\partial_z u_2 &= A \partial_t^3 u_2 + B \partial_t u_2^3 + V \partial_t u_2 \\
&\quad + C \partial_t u_1 + D \partial_t^3 u_1 + E \partial_t (3u_1 u_2 + u_1^3)
\end{align*}$$

Nonlinear coupling

The complete final system is

\[
\partial_z u_1 = A \partial_t^3 u_1 + B \partial_t u_1^3 + V \partial_t u_1 \\
+ C \partial_t u_2 + D \partial_t^3 u_2 + E \partial_t (3u_1^2u_2 + u_2^3)
\]

\[
\partial_z u_2 = A \partial_t^3 u_2 + B \partial_t u_2^3 + V \partial_t u_2 \\
+ C \partial_t u_1 + D \partial_t^3 u_1 + E \partial_t (3u_1u_2 + u_1^3)
\]

We evidence

- a standard linear coupling term,
- a linear coupling term based on dispersion,
- a nonlinear coupling term

Nonlinear coupling

The complete final system is

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_z u_1 &= A\partial_t^3 u_1 + B\partial_t u_1^3 + V\partial_t u_1 \\
&\quad + C\partial_t u_2 + D\partial_t^3 u_2 + E\partial_t (3u_1^2u_2 + u_2^3) \\
\partial_z u_2 &= A\partial_t^3 u_2 + B\partial_t u_2^3 + V\partial_t u_2 \\
&\quad + C\partial_t u_1 + D\partial_t^3 u_1 + E\partial_t (3u_1u_2 + u_1^3)
\end{align*}
\]

We evidence

- a standard **linear coupling** term,
- a linear coupling term based on dispersion,
- a **nonlinear coupling** term

Nonlinear coupling

The complete final system is

\[ \partial_z u_1 = A \partial_t^3 u_1 + B \partial_t u_1^3 + V \partial_t u_1 + C \partial_t u_2 + D \partial_t^3 u_2 + E \partial_t (3 u_1^2 u_2 + u_2^3) \]

\[ \partial_z u_2 = A \partial_t^3 u_2 + B \partial_t u_2^3 + V \partial_t u_2 + C \partial_t u_1 + D \partial_t^3 u_1 + E \partial_t (3 u_1 u_2 + u_1^3) \]

We evidence

- a standard **linear coupling** term,
- a linear coupling term **based on dispersion**, and
- a nonlinear coupling term

Nonlinear coupling

The complete final system is

\[ \partial_z u_1 = A \partial_t^3 u_1 + B \partial_t u_1^3 + V \partial_t u_1 \\
+ C \partial_t u_2 + D \partial_t^3 u_2 + E \partial_t (3u_1^2 u_2 + u_2^3) \]

\[ \partial_z u_2 = A \partial_t^3 u_2 + B \partial_t u_2^3 + V \partial_t u_2 \\
+ C \partial_t u_1 + D \partial_t^3 u_1 + E \partial_t (3u_1 u_2 + u_1^3) \]

We evidence

- a standard linear coupling term,
- a linear coupling term based on dispersion,
- a nonlinear coupling term

Models for few-cycle solitons
- The mKdV-sG equation
- Nonlinear widening of the linear guided modes

Waveguide coupling in the few-cycle regime
- Derivation of the coupling terms
- Examples of behavior of the linear non-dispersive coupling
- Few-cycle optical solitons in linearly coupled waveguides

Few cycle spatiotemporal solitons in waveguide arrays
- Formation of a solitons from a Gaussian pulse
- Two kind of solitons
We assume a purely linear and non-dispersive coupling

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_z u &= -\partial_t (u^3) - \partial_t^3 u - C \partial_t \nu, \\
\partial_z \nu &= -\partial_t (\nu^3) - \partial_t^3 \nu - C \partial_t u,
\end{align*}
\]

The initial data is

\[
\begin{align*}
u &= A_u \sin (\omega_u t + \varphi_u) e^{-(t-t_u)^2/\tau_u^2}, \\
\nu &= A_v \sin (\omega_v t + \varphi_v) e^{-(t-t_v)^2/\tau_v}.
\end{align*}
\]
A soliton is launched in channel $u$ and a smaller input in channel $v$.

Initial pulses:

$u$ forms a soliton, $v$ is spread out.

Output, uncoupled:

Output, coupled:

A vector soliton forms.

(Blue line: $u$, red line: $v$. Output at $z = 2$, coupling $C = -1$ or 0. $A_u = 2$, $A_v = 0.2$, $\lambda_u = \lambda_v = 1$, $\text{FWHM}_u = \text{FWHM}_v = 3$, $\varphi_u = \varphi_v = 0$, $t_u = t_v = 0$.

Some energy is transferred form one channel to the other.)
**Mutual trapping** of solitons:
It can occur if their center don’t coincide initially

Initial pulses:

Output, uncoupled:

Output, coupled:

Blue line: \( u \), red line: \( v \). Output at \( z = 2 \), coupling \( C = -1 \) or 0. \( A_u = A_v = 3, \lambda_u = \lambda_v = 1, FWHM_u = FWHM_v = 2, \varphi_u = \varphi_v = 0, t_u = 1, t_v = -1 \).
Two solitons with different frequencies can lock together.

Initial pulses:

Output, uncoupled:

Output, coupled:

Blue line: \( u \), red line: \( v \). Output at \( z = 2 \), coupling \( C = -1 \) or 0. \( A_u = A_v = 1.8 \), \( \lambda_u = 1 \), \( \lambda_v = 0.8 \), \( FWHM_u = FWHM_v = 3 \), \( \varphi_u = \varphi_v = 0 \), \( t_u = t_v = 0 \).
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We look for stationary states (vector solitons) in this model. The "stationary" states oscillate with $t$ and $z$: few-cycle solitons are **breathers**. A typical example of few-cycle vector soliton

(Dotted lines: $u$, solid lines: $v$. Left: at $z = 0$, right: at $z = 60$. $< A_u > = 1.837$).
Evolution of soliton's maximum amplitude during propagation.

Soliton with $< A_u > = 1.789$.

Two types of oscillations:
- **Fast**: phase - group velocity mismatch
- **Slower**: periodic energy exchange, as in linear regime
Consider now the coupled equations in the linearized case.

The monochromatic solutions are

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  u \\
  v
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
  A \\
  B
\end{pmatrix} e^{-i(\omega t + b\omega^3 z)},
\]

With, due to coupling,

\[
A = u_0 \cos c\omega z + iv_0 \sin c\omega z, \\
B = v_0 \cos c\omega z + iu_0 \sin c\omega z.
\]

The maximum amplitude and the power density of the wave oscillate with spatial frequency \( c\omega/\pi = \sigma_0 = 1.326 \).
Consider now the coupled equations in the **linearized** case.

The **monochromatic** solutions are

\[
\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} e^{-i(\omega t + b \omega^3 z)},
\]

With, due to coupling,

\[
A = u_0 \cos c \omega z + i v_0 \sin c \omega z,
\]
\[
B = v_0 \cos c \omega z + i u_0 \sin c \omega z.
\]

The maximum amplitude and the power density of the wave oscillate with spatial frequency \(c \omega/\pi = \sigma_0 = 1.326\).
Consider now the coupled equations in the linearized case.

The monochromatic solutions are

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    u \\
    v
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
    A \\
    B
\end{pmatrix} e^{-i(\omega t + b\omega^3 z)},
\]

With, due to coupling,

\[
A = u_0 \cos c\omega z + iv_0 \sin c\omega z,
\]
\[
B = v_0 \cos c\omega z + iu_0 \sin c\omega z.
\]

The maximum amplitude and the power density of the wave oscillate with spatial frequency \( c\omega / \pi = \sigma_0 = 1.326 \).
Consider now the coupled equations in the linearized case. The monochromatic solutions are

\[
\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} e^{-i(\omega t + b\omega^3 z)},
\]

With, due to coupling,

\[
A = u_0 \cos c\omega z + iv_0 \sin c\omega z,
\]

\[
B = v_0 \cos c\omega z + iu_0 \sin c\omega z.
\]

The maximum amplitude and the power density of the wave oscillate with spatial frequency \(c\omega/\pi = \sigma_0 = 1.326\).
Oscillations of the few-cycle vector solitons

- The energies $E_u = \int u^2 dt$ and $E_v = \int v^2 dt$ oscillate almost harmonically, as $E_u = <E_u> + \Delta E_u \sin(2\pi \sigma_a z + \phi_{E,u})$.
- The same for $A_u = \max_t(|u|)$ and $A_v = \max_t(|v|)$.
- Spatial frequency $\sigma_a \in [1.06,1.17]$, increasing with $<A_u>$.
  (linear: $\sigma_0 = 1.326$).
- Amplitudes of oscillations vs amplitude of field $u$
The energies $E_u = \int u^2 dt$ and $E_v = \int v^2 dt$ oscillate almost harmonically, as $E_u = \langle E_u \rangle + \Delta E_u \sin(2\pi \sigma_a z + \phi_{E,u})$.

The same for $A_u = \max_t (|u|)$ and $A_v = \max_t (|v|)$.

Spatial frequency $\sigma_a \in [1.06, 1.17]$, increasing with $\langle A_u \rangle$.

(Linear: $\sigma_0 = 1.326$).

Amplitudes of oscillations vs amplitude of field $u$
Oscillations of the few-cycle vector solitons

- The energies $E_u = \int u^2 dt$ and $E_v = \int v^2 dt$ oscillate almost harmonically, as $E_u = \langle E_u \rangle + \Delta E_u \sin(2\pi \sigma_a z + \phi_{E,u})$.
- The same for $A_u = \max_t(|u|)$ and $A_v = \max_t(|v|)$.
- Spatial frequency $\sigma_a \in [1.06, 1.17]$, increasing with $\langle A_u \rangle$.
  (linear: $\sigma_0 = 1.326$).
- Amplitudes of oscillations vs amplitude of field $u$

![Graph showing oscillations vs amplitude of field $u$](image)
Oscillations of the few-cycle vector solitons

- The energies $E_u = \int u^2 dt$ and $E_v = \int v^2 dt$ oscillate almost harmonically, as $E_u = <E_u> + \Delta E_u \sin(2\pi \sigma_a z + \phi_{E,u})$.
- The same for $A_u = \max_t(|u|)$ and $A_v = \max_t(|v|)$.
- Spatial frequency $\sigma_a \in [1.06, 1.17]$, increasing with $<A_u>$.
  (linear: $\sigma_0 = 1.326$).
- Amplitudes of oscillations vs amplitude of field $u$

![Graph](image)

black saltires: $\Delta E_u$; blue stars: $\Delta A_u$; red crosses: $\Delta A_v$. 

Leblond, Mihalache, Kremer, Terniche (Laboratoire de Photonique d'Angers LPA EA 4464, Université d'Angers., Horia Hulubei National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering, and Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Moldova.
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Oscillations of the few-cycle vector solitons

- The energies $E_u = \int u^2 dt$ and $E_v = \int v^2 dt$ oscillate almost harmonically, as $E_u = \langle E_u \rangle + \Delta E_u \sin(2\pi \sigma_a z + \phi_{E,u})$.
- **Amplitudes** of oscillations vs amplitude of field $u$

![Graph showing oscillations of energies](attachment:image.png)

- black saltires: $\Delta E_u$; blue stars: $\Delta A_u$; red crosses: $\Delta A_v$.

- Well fitted with $\Delta E_u \approx R \sqrt{A_0 - \langle A_u \rangle}$, etc., with $A_0 = 1.854$. 
Evolution of the ratio $v/u$

- Almost constant vs $t$

Soliton with $< A_u > = 1.855$. 
Evolution of the ratio $\nu/u$

Or $\theta = \arctan \frac{\nu}{u}$.

- Oscillates almost harmonically with $z$.
- Amplitudes of oscillations vs field $u$ amplitude:

![Graph](image)

Black line: mean value $\langle \theta \rangle$; green line: $\Delta \theta$.

Crosses: raw numerical data; solid lines: linear or parabolic fits.
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Models for few-cycle solitons
- The mKdV-sG equation
- Nonlinear widening of the linear guided modes

Waveguide coupling in the few-cycle regime
- Derivation of the coupling terms
- Examples of behavior of the linear non-dispersive coupling
- Few-cycle optical solitons in linearly coupled waveguides

Few cycle spatiotemporal solitons in waveguide arrays
- Formation of a solitons from a Gaussian pulse
- Two kind of solitons
A set of coupled waveguides within the same model, as:

\[ \partial_z u_n = -a \partial_t (u_n^3) - b \partial^3_t u_n - c \partial_t (u_{n-1} + u_{n+1}), \]

Initial data

\[ u_n(z = 0, t) = A_0 \sin(\omega t + \varphi) \exp \left( -\frac{n^2}{x^2} - \frac{t^2}{\tau^2} \right); \]

We fix \( \varphi_0 = 0, \ x = 1, \ \lambda = 1, \) and we vary \( A_0 \) and \( \tau. \)
Formation of a solitons from a Gaussian pulse

\[
z = 0, \quad fwhm = 3.5.
\]
Formation of a solitons from a Gaussian pulse

- Low amplitude output: diffraction and dispersion

\[ z = 0.72, \quad A_0 = 0.2, \quad \text{fwhm} = 3.5. \]
Formation of a solitons from a Gaussian pulse

- High amplitude output: space-time localization

\[ z = 288, \ A_0 = 2.06, \ \text{fwhm} = 3.5. \]
An energy threshold for soliton formation?

- Domain for soliton formation

Blue: soliton; red: dispersion-diffraction.

- Leblond, Mihalache, Kremer, Terniche (Laboratoire de Photonique d'Angers LϕEA 4464, Université d'Angers., Horia Hulubei National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering, and Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Moldova). Laboratoire MOLTECH-Anjou, CNRS UMR 6200, Université d'Angers., Laboratoire Electronique Quantique, USTHB, Alger.)

Few-cycle optical solitons in coupled waveguides
An energy threshold for soliton formation?

- Domain for soliton formation

Blue: soliton; red: dispersion-diffraction.

Leblond, Mihalache, Kremer, Terniche (Laboratoire de Photonique d'Angers LϕEA 4464, Université d'Angers., Horia Hulubei National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering, and Academy of Sciences of Romania)
1 Models for few-cycle solitons
   - The mKdV-sG equation
   - Nonlinear widening of the linear guided modes

2 Waveguide coupling in the few-cycle regime
   - Derivation of the coupling terms
   - Examples of behavior of the linear non-dispersive coupling
   - Few-cycle optical solitons in linearly coupled waveguides

3 Few cycle spatiotemporal solitons in waveguide arrays
   - Formation of a solitons from a Gaussian pulse
   - Two kind of solitons
- Two kinds of solitons: breathing and fundamental.
- Breathing soliton: \( \{ \text{localized in space and time} \) \text{oscillating wave packet} \)
Two kind of solitons: breathing and fundamental.

- Breathing soliton: 
  - localized in space and time
  - oscillating wave packet

\[ \max |u| = 3.1801 \]
Fundamental soliton: \[ \{ \text{localized in space and time} \]
\[ \text{single humped} \]
Fundamental soliton: \{ localized in space and time single humped \}

\[ \max |u| = 2.5667 \]
Thank you for your attention.
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