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A study of the structural parameters which govern the supramolecular organization of an organogelator built from the Disperse Red moiety is proposed. In particular, the key balance between intermolecular H-bonding and/or \( \pi-\pi \) interactions is addressed by comparing the effect of a secondary amide vs. an ester linker within the molecular structure. Solution \(^1\)H-NMR studies show the superiority of the former interaction in promoting the nanostructuring process, allowing it to reach a gel state in toluene. The nanostructures obtained from both the amide and the ester derivatives were also studied in the solid state. In particular, the use of second-harmonic generation microscopy demonstrates that an anisotropic organization of the material can even be observed in the case of the ester derivative, which demonstrates the efficiency of the tris(alkoxy)benzene unit in directing the self-assembly process, independently of additional H-bond interactions.

Nonlinear optically (NLO) active materials have been developed over the last few decades given their interest in photonics\(^1\) or optoelectronics.\(^2\)–\(^4\) In the case of second harmonic generation (SHG), a myriad of dipolar \( \pi \)-conjugated push–pull NLO-phores have been described so far.\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^6\) The non-centrosymmetric organization of the latter within the corresponding materials, which constitutes a requisite for observing a SHG response, can be satisfied through various approaches ranging from the use of chiral NLO-phores to the application of dipole alignment strategies (i.e., Langmuir–Blodgett films,\(^7\) self-assembled layers,\(^8\) specific matrices\(^9\) or corona poling).\(^10\) In this context, we recently reported a strategy based on the self-assembly of a urea-based organogelator.\(^11\) The latter allows for a spontaneous non-centrosymmetric arrangement of the chromophores, based on a favorable balance of supramolecular forces, without the need of any additional external mediation. However, dipolar chromophores exhibit a strong propensity to self-assemble in a centrosymmetric fashion because of antiparallel donor–acceptor intermolecular interactions. Therefore, maintaining an appropriate balance between supramolecular forces when designing a chromophore to be self-assembled remains a delicate challenge. In this context, studying new organogelators incorporating a chromophore to be self-assembled remains a delicate challenge. In this context, studying new organogelators incorporating NLO-phores appears necessary in order to increase knowledge of the forces driving the self-assembly process, as well as for extending the scope of non-linear optical materials obtained by supramolecular polymerization. Herein, we address this issue through a comparative self-assembly study of two new NLO-active dipolar molecules 1 and 2 designed to promote gelation in organic solvents.

Our study began with a benchmark dipolar structure based on the Disperse Red 1 (DR1) unit, a well-known push–pull azobenzene reference compound for SHG. Note that azobenzene-based organogels have already been depicted and allow for a gel–sol transition upon irradiation.\(^12\) A tris(alkoxy)benzamide (compound 1) or a tris(alkoxy)benzoester (compound 2) unit was attached to the azobenzene moiety in order to promote supramolecular polymerization assisted by \( \pi-\pi \) interactions and/or hydrogen bonds or non-covalent interactions.

Compounds 1 and 2 (Scheme 1) were respectively synthesized from the previously reported tris(dodecyloxy)benzoic acid 3,\(^13\) which was reacted with amine 4,\(^11\) dicyclohexylcarbodiimide

![Scheme 1](image-url)
increasing the concentration within this concentration range leads to a clear deshielding of the N–H proton signal (in red, Fig. 1), assignable to the intermolecular H-bonding interactions taking place. Plotting the variation of the chemical shift against the concentration results in a non-linear behaviour (Fig. 1), which can be fitted to an equation accounting for an isodesmic mechanism, affording a low binding constant \( K \approx 10 \). The intermolecular character of the N–H based hydrogen bond was confirmed using FTIR analysis, which shows a dilution-dependent shift of the N–H wavenumber value from 3285 cm\(^{-1}\) to 3270 cm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. S11, ESI†). Finally, some signals in the aromatic region are also impacted upon increasing the concentration (Fig. S1, ESI†). In particular, a significant deshielding of the tris(alkoxy)benzene signal in 1 (7.25 ppm, 40 mM) is observed, concomitantly to H-bond formation. This is explained by the modified electron density on the amide functional group engaged in a H-bond (i.e., upon increasing concentration), which results in a decreased electron density on the tris(alkoxy) benzene ring. Interestingly, a progressive change is also observed on the DR-based unit, but only for the hydrogen atoms located on the \( \text{meta} \) positions of the tertiary amine function. In this case, a high-field shift is observed from 8.05 ppm (0.56 mM) to 7.96 ppm (40 mM), which can be assigned to a \( \pi-\pi \) interaction involving the DR1 unit and which is promoted by the proximal H-bond interaction center. It is noteworthy that a similar study using the ester analogue 2 (Fig. S2, ESI†) shows only a very weak chemical shift variation, for the protons of the aminophenyl (DR) unit at 8.01 ppm (60 mM) or for the tris(alkoxy)benzene moiety at 7.49 ppm. Such an observation is consistent with the self-assembly process of compound 1, which is highly dominated by H-bond interactions, combined with \( \pi-\pi \) interactions. On the other hand, compound 2 only displays the latter type of interactions but at a much lower extent than 1.

Diffusion NMR spectroscopy is a valuable tool for evaluating the size of supramolecular aggregates. The diffusion coefficients \( D \) for both compounds 1 and 2 have been measured at different concentrations in toluene-D\(_8\) as the solvent (Fig. 2, Fig. S3–S5 and Table S2, ESI†). In both cases, upon increasing the concentration, the diffusion coefficient decreases (Fig. 2), which is consistent with a size increase of the corresponding aggregates. Both compounds exhibit similar \( D \) values at low concentrations \( (\sim 5.1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}) \), as expected from their very similar structures and molecular sizes. On the other hand, in both cases, the curves converge to a plateau \( (\sim 4.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ for 1 and } \sim 4.7 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ for compound 2) at high concentrations, values which can therefore be considered as corresponding to the largest assemblies attainable under these conditions. Comparing those } D \text{ values demonstrates that supramolecular structures from amide 1 are larger than those formed from the ester derivative 2.}

In order to gain a deeper insight into the structural parameters governing the molecular organization of aggregates 1 and 2 in solution, selective NOE experiments were carried out. To this end, highly concentrated toluene solutions (in which the biggest aggregates are obtained) of 1 and 2 as well as diluted solutions (in which only isolated molecules are present) were studied. Both structures 1 and 2 consist of three...
substituted benzene rings (namely, the “nitro-ring”, “amino-ring” and “alkoxy-ring” respectively), whose relative interactions have been evaluated. Two distinguishable behaviors are observed for compounds 1 and 2. Compound 2 recorded at a high concentration (61 mM) exhibits reciprocal NOE effects upon selective irradiation of protons “b” and “c” of the DR unit (Fig. 3b and Fig. S9 in the ESI†). It is noteworthy that when similar experiments are carried out under diluted conditions (1 mM) (Fig. S6 and S10, ESI†), these NOE effects are cancelled out, which unambiguously demonstrates the intermolecular nature of the interactions between an electron-rich “amino-ring” and an electron-poor “nitro-ring”, belonging to two distinctive DR units for concentrated solutions. On the other hand, the NOE contact found between protons “d” and “i” of 2 does not disappear upon dilution (Fig. S9 and S10, ESI†), which indicates an intramolecular contact between the “amino-ring” and the “alkoxy-ring” whatever the concentration. This series of NOE experiments suggests the aggregation of ester 2 through intramolecular interactions between the DR units combined with a folding of the molecule around the ester linkage with π-π interactions occurring between the “amino-ring” and the “alkoxy-ring”.

A significantly different behavior was observed from the NOE experiments with derivative 1. Although the irradiating proton “c” was not possible in a selective way in this case, the irradiation of proton “b” only shows a NOE effect with proton “a”, be that under concentrated or diluted conditions (Fig. 3a and Fig. S6a, ESI†). Unlike compound 2, no interaction was observed between the “amino-ring” and the “nitro-ring” protons. This fact indicates a different mode of aggregation for the amide derivative 1, which is indeed predominantly governed by H-bonds rather than by π-π interactions as in the case of 2.

The morphology of the supramolecular objects formed from both compounds was investigated using optical and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Each derivative was deposited by drop casting onto a glass substrate at a concentration allowing it to reach the largest aggregates. The SEM and optical images show the presence of fibers in both cases (Fig. 4 and Fig. S12 and S13, ESI†), but which are significantly different in their size and shape. The fibers appear larger for compound 1, which is consistent with the above-mentioned diffusion NMR experiments. In addition, they correspond to a network of long coaxially organized fibers as seen clearly from the SEM images (Fig. 4 and Fig. S12, ESI†). On the other hand, the supramolecular aggregates issued from ester 2 are organized in microdomains of more densely packed materials (Fig. S12 and S13, ESI†).

Fig. 2 Top: DOSY NMR spectrum of 1 (toluene-D₈, 40 mM); bottom: evolution of the diffusion coefficients of amide 1 (red circles) and ester 2 (black squares) as a function of the concentration.

Fig. 3 Partial ¹H NMR spectra (300 MHz, 298 K, toluene-D₈) (black) and NOE experiments (red) of 1 (28 mM) (a) and 2 (61 mM) (b). NOE irradiations were performed at 7.75 ppm (protons “b”, in the case of 1) and 8.06 ppm (protons “c”, in the case of 2). The solid arrows represent the NOE contacts for the intramolecular effects, and the dashed arrows represent the intermolecular effects.

Fig. 4 SEM (left) and SHG (right) micrographs of the fibers formed by the self-assembly of compound 1 (28 mM) (a and b) and 2 (61 mM) (c and d) in toluene.
Such structural organization is less favorable to allow entrapping of solvent molecules and accounts for the differences in the gelation ability of both compounds. In addition, one can note the occurrence of a birefringence state for both materials in polarized light optical microscopy (Fig. S13, ESI†), an observation which demonstrates the anisotropic organization of both materials.

The same samples used for optical and SEM microscopy were subsequently studied via second harmonic generation microscopy. This technique provides information regarding the SHG activity at the microscale level. The experimental setup for recording the SHG images was used as described previously.11 Both samples prepared from compounds 1 and 2 showed SHG responses in accordance with a local non-centrosymmetric organization and whose distribution is reminiscent of the anisotropic organization of the respective corresponding materials (Fig. 4b, d and 5; Fig. S14 and S15 and Movies S1 and S2, ESI†). Note that no change of the morphology of the fibrillar xerogel was detected when comparing the optical microscopy images from before and after irradiation. This is assigned to the more robust character of the xerogel state compared with the supramolecular polymerization process. Importantly, an anisotropic organization of the material could be characterized using second harmonic generation microscopy in both cases, showing that even compound 2, which does not display intermolecular H-bond interactions, can support a local non-centrosymmetric organization thanks to the contribution of tris(alkoxy)benzene units.
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**Fig. 5** Evolution of the SHG response of compound 1 in toluene as a function of the linear polarization angle of the excitation laser beam. The polarization angle is indicated at the top left corner of each picture. The evolution of the SHG response with the rotation of the polarization plane is shown in Movie S1 (ESI†).


