The use of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists and bone fractures: A meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Patients suffering of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) present a higher risk of suffering from bone fracture independently of the anti-diabetic medications usage. Furthermore, anti-diabetic medications could directly affect bone metabolism. Recently, the use of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors has been associated with a lower rate of bone fracture. The aim of the present meta-analysis was to assess whether patients with T2DM treated with GLP-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1Ra) present a lower incidence of bone fracture as compared with other anti-diabetic drugs.

**Methods:** A search on Medline, Embase, [www.clinicaltrials.gov](http://www.clinicaltrials.gov) and hand-search for randomized clinical trials of T2DM treated with either a GLP-1Ra or another anti-diabetic drug for duration of ≥24 weeks was conducted by two authors independently.

**Results:** Although we identified 28 eligible studies, only 7 trials reported occurrence of at least a bone fracture in one arm of the trial. The total number of fractures was 19 (13 and 6 with GLP-1Ra and comparator, respectively). The pooled Mantel-Haenszel odd ratio for GLP-1Ra was 0.87 (95% CI 0.37-2.03, p=0.739) in trials versus other anti-diabetic agents.

**Conclusions:** Our study failed to provide significant evidence that GLP-1Ra therapy in T2DM is associated with a decrease in the rate of occurrence of bone fracture.
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**Significant findings of the study:** Treatment with GLP-1Ra was not associated with any modifications in the occurrence of bone fractures in type 2 diabetes mellitus.

**What this study adds:** The study strengthened our understanding of the drug safety profile of GLP-1Ra, such as exenatide and liraglutide, and our knowledge on how the incretin-based therapies in type 2 diabetes mellitus might affect bone metabolism.

**Introduction**

Glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) is produced and secreted from L-cells, an open-type intestinal epithelial endocrine cell, following the entry of nutrients in the gut lumen. GLP-1 is a member of the incretin family and as such, upon its binding to the GLP-1 receptor expressed at the surface of pancreatic β cells, potentiates glucose-dependent insulin secretion from pancreatic islet 1. Several studies have demonstrated that GLP-1 secretion and action are reduced in T2DM and Vilsbøll et al. demonstrated that infusion of GLP-1 at supra-physiological doses in T2DM patients restored insulin levels comparable to non-diabetic controls. 2-4 However, the rapid inactivation of native GLP-1 by the dipeptidyl
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Peptidase 4 (DPP-4) precluded its use in a therapeutic manner. As such, two strategies have been employed to target the GLP-1 pathway and consisted in the use of DPP-4 inhibitors or GLP-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1Ra). Both strategies have now been approved in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Patients suffering from T2DM have a high risk of developing bone fractures.\(^5\)\(^6\) Consequences of such complications are dramatic, often require surgery and may result in deformities, loss of independence or death. The mechanism leading to bone fracture in T2DM has yet to be determined but several factors may predispose T2DM patients to such complications including falls secondary to hypoglycaemia, diabetes complications, oral anti-diabetic drugs (such as thiazolidinediones) or increased advanced glycation end products production that negatively impact on the quality of the bone matrix. In rodent models, deletion of the GLP-1R has been associated with osteopenia and alteration of the collagen compartment of the bone matrix, suggesting a positive role of the GLP-1/GLP-1R pathway in bone metabolism.\(^7\)\(^8\) Furthermore, administration of GLP-1 or its enzyme resistant analogue exendin-4 for three days in normal and diabetic rats results in increased trabecular bone mass and augmentation of the expression of osteoblast markers in these animals, suggesting a possible positive actions of GLP-1 on trabecular bone.\(^9\)\(^10\)

Recently, despite no modifications in the postprandial glucose levels, administration of GLP-1Ra prolonged the action of endogenous GLP-1, one could wonder whether GLP-1 might participate in the mechanism of reducing the occurrence of bone fracture observed in DPP-4 inhibitor-treated patients. However, to date no data have been reported on the incidence of bone fracture in T2DM patients treated with long acting GLP-1Ra.

The aim of the present study was to assess the incidence of bone fracture in patients treated with GLP-1Ra in T2DM based on data published from randomized clinical trials. Our analysis showed that the incidence of fractures in T2DM patients treated with GLP-1Ra was not significantly different as compared with other anti-diabetic drugs.

Methods

We followed the PRISMA guideline in preparation and report of this meta-analysis.

Data sources and searches

A search for “exenatide”, “liraglutide”, “tasiglutide”, “albiglutide”, “lixisenatide”, “dulaglutide” and “semaglutide” was performed in Medline and Embase for randomized clinical trials up to December 1, 2012. Publications in English language only were reviewed for this meta-analysis. Completed but still unpublished trials were identified through a search on www.clinicaltrials.gov website. A hand-search was also performed in the personal bibliography of the authors to identify any missing study from electronical searches.

Study selection

Two reviewers (GM and AM) independently screened abstracts according to the inclusion criteria. Full-text were reviewed when inclusion criteria could not be met solely on information provided in the abstract. Any discrepancies were resolved by consensus between the two reviewers referencing the original article.

Data extraction and quality assessment

A meta-analysis was performed including all trials with duration ≥ 24 weeks, enrolling patients with T2DM and comparing GLP-1Ra with placebo or other active drugs. This duration was chosen based on the fact that modifications of bone microarchitecture and/or quality might need several weeks to occur. The quality of trials was assessed on some parameters as proposed by Jadad et al.\(^13\) Participant baseline characteristics of the included studies were extracted and differences in baseline characteristics between groups, description of treatment allocation, intention-to-treat and drop out analysis were used to assess the quality of the study. The principal outcome was the effect of GLP-1Ra on the incidence of bone fractures reported as serious adverse events. Predefined separate analyses were performed for trials with different GLP-1Ra.

Data synthesis and analysis

**Figure 1:** Study design
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Heterogeneity was assessed by using $I^2$ statistics. Data were combined using a random-effects model. The random-effects model was chosen because the validity of the heterogeneity test can be limited with a small number of studies.

Table 1: Description of the quality of randomized controlled trials included in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Randomization</th>
<th>Description of Allocation</th>
<th>Blinding</th>
<th>Reporting drop-out</th>
<th>Intention-to-treat</th>
<th>Overall quality of the study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buse, 2011 14</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauck, 2013 15</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garber, 2011 16</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauck, 2013 15</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00935532 17</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallwitz, 2011 18</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pratley, 2011 19</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A: adequately reported, NA: non-adequately reported.

The Begg adjusted rank correlation test and funnel plot were reported to assess publication bias although these tests have a low statistical power when the number of included studies is small.\textsuperscript{20} The meta-analysis was reported according to the PRISMA guideline.\textsuperscript{21} Mantel-Haenszel odds ratio (MH-OR) with 95% CI was calculated excluding trials with no event. All analysis was performed using Comprehensive meta-analysis version 2 (Biostat, Englewood, NJ) and Systat 13 statistical softwares (Systat, San José, CA).

Results

With our search, we identified a total of 28 eligible studies (Figure 1). Of these 28 studies, 21 studies reported no fracture events in any of the treatment arm and 7 studies reported at least the occurrence of a bone fracture in one of the treatment arm. The meta-analysis was performed on 7 trials (2983 and 1246 patients treated respectively with a GLP-1Ra or comparator; mean duration of study of 67.4 weeks). Quality of the included studies is reported Table 1. Five studies were considered as high quality, one as medium quality and one as poor quality. None of the patient characteristics including percentage of women were different at baseline between GLP-1Ra and comparator arms.

Of these 7 trials, 2 were placebo-controlled, 2 were performed against the sulfonylurea glimepiride, 2 were performed vs. insulin formulations (one against insulin glargine, the other against premixed insulin aspart) and 1 was performed vs. sitagliptin (Table 2). Age, BMI and HbA1c at baseline were similar in GLP-1Ra and comparator groups (Table 2). The mean age of participants was 56.4 ± 1.9 years, the mean BMI was 32.4 ± 4 kg/m\textsuperscript{2} and mean HbA1c was 8.3 ± 0.2 %. The Begg adjusted correlation test (Kendall $\tau$-0.047, $p$=0.44) indicated no major publication bias. The funnel plot is represented Figure 2 and does not show any sign of publication bias. $I^2$ test for heterogeneity suggested the use of a random-effects model.

The total number of bone fracture was 19 (13 and 6 with GLP-1Ra and comparator, respectively) for a total of 4229 patients (2983 and 1246 in the GLP-1Ra and comparator groups respectively). The pooled MH-OR for GLP-1Ra was 0.87 (95% CI 0.37-2.03, $p$=0.739) (Figure 3). MH-OR for GLP-1Ra was 0.26 (0.05-1.33, $p$=0.106) and 0.68 (0.13-3.48, $p$=0.642) in trials vs. placebo and sulfonylurea respectively. MH-OR for GLP-1Ra vs. insulin formulations was 5.84 (95% CI 0.7- 48.737, $p$=0.103). We also computed the MH-OR for GLP1Ra depending on the follow-up time. MH-OR for study <52weeks was 2.45 (95% CI 0.57 - 10.49, $p$=0.227) and MH-OR for study ≥52 weeks was 0.37 (95% CI 0.12 - 1.15, $p$=0.087). In order to assess whether the study quality could be a cofounding factor, MH-OR was stratified on either high or poor/medium qualities. MH-OR for poor/medium quality studies was 5.79 (95% CI 0.69 - 48.45, $p$=0.105) and the MH-OR for high quality studies was 0.36 (95% CI 0.12 – 1.05, $p$=0.062). An adjusted MH-OR was also calculated after adjustment for changes in HbA1c. The adjusted MH-OR was 0.88 (95% CI 0.69- 1.154, $p$=0.157). No significant differences were observed between the different GLP-1Ra.

![Figure 2: Funnel plot of all sub-studies on the effects of GLP-1Ra on bone fracture incidence.](image)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Number of participants (GLP-1Ra/Comparator)</th>
<th>Number of bone fractures (GLP-1Ra/Comparator)</th>
<th>Study duration (weeks)</th>
<th>Age at baseline (years)</th>
<th>Body mass index at baseline</th>
<th>HbA1c at baseline % (mmol/mol)</th>
<th>Number of women (GLP-1Ra/Comparator) %</th>
<th>Changes in HbA1c (GLP-1Ra/Comparator) %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buse, 2011</td>
<td>Exenatide BID/Placebo</td>
<td>137/122</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>8.4 (68)</td>
<td>49/36</td>
<td>-1.7/-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauck, 2013</td>
<td>Liraglutide/Placebo</td>
<td>724/121</td>
<td>3/2</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>8.4 (68)</td>
<td>42/40</td>
<td>-0.5/0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garber, 2011</td>
<td>Liraglutide/Glimepiride</td>
<td>497/97</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>8.2 (66)</td>
<td>42/43</td>
<td>-0.5/-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauck, 2013</td>
<td>Liraglutide/Glimepiride</td>
<td>724/242</td>
<td>3/1</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>8.4 (68)</td>
<td>52/47</td>
<td>-0.7/-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00935532</td>
<td>Exenatide LAR/Ins. Glargine</td>
<td>215/212</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>8.5 (69)</td>
<td>34/30</td>
<td>-1.1/-0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallwitz, 2011</td>
<td>Exenatide BID/Ins. Aspart</td>
<td>247/233</td>
<td>3/0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>7.9 (63)</td>
<td>40/44</td>
<td>-1.0/-1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pratley, 2011</td>
<td>Liraglutide/Sitagliptin</td>
<td>439/219</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>8.4 (68)</td>
<td>48/45</td>
<td>-1.4/-0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/A: not available
Discussion
Bone fractures are not among usual end points considered in choosing a blood-glucose lowering agents. However, the choice of anti-diabetic medications might influence and favor bone fracture as observed with thiazolidinediones.\textsuperscript{22} The results of the present meta-analysis suggest that the use of GLP-1Ra was not associated with a reduce incidence of bone fracture as compared with other anti-diabetic drugs. However, the results of this analysis should be considered with caution as regards with the low number of trials reporting evidence of fractures.

Bone fractures were not the principal end-points of the retrieved studies and as such often disclose as a serious adverse events although this represents probably only a fraction of all fractures. Non-serious adverse events were not considered in the present study as they were often incomplete and reported events with an incidence superior to 5%. Furthermore, the Begg adjusted rank correlation test and funnel plot used in the present study to investigate publication bias have a low statistical power when the number of included studies is small and as such although these statistical tools suggested no publication bias, we cannot totally rule out this artifact.

Another limitation in our study is the lack of data on bone status (bone mineral density, microarchitecture, bone quality) and calcium and phosphorus metabolism at baseline that could have highlighted differences between included patients and could have resulted in the observed effects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study name</th>
<th>MH-OR</th>
<th>Lower limit</th>
<th>Upper limit</th>
<th>Z-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buse, 2011</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>7.298</td>
<td>-0.748</td>
<td>0.465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauck, 2013</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>1.497</td>
<td>-1.520</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garber, 2011</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>4.320</td>
<td>-0.770</td>
<td>0.441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauck, 2013</td>
<td>1.003</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>9.886</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00935532</td>
<td>4.977</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>104.276</td>
<td>1.034</td>
<td>0.301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galwitz, 2011</td>
<td>6.865</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>130.124</td>
<td>1.254</td>
<td>0.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pratley, 2011</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>4.085</td>
<td>-1.099</td>
<td>0.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>2.027</td>
<td>-0.333</td>
<td>0.739</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Subgroup analyses of MH-OR (95% CI) on the incidence of bone fracture in GLP-1Ra and comparator trials.

In our stratification analysis, we observed a non-significant increase in MH-OR for GLP-1Ra vs. insulin formulations analysis. Despite anabolic effects in bone, insulin has been previously associated with a higher risk of bone fracture in diabetes mellitus, as such one could wonder why this MH-OR was so high.\textsuperscript{23-25} One explanation might reside in the quality of the two included studies that was defined as medium and poor. Indeed, MH-OR calculated for high quality study almost reached statistical significance (p=0.062) whilst medium/poor studies were not significant with a very wide 95% confidence interval. As such it is plausible that due to the lack of description concerning allocation, randomization, blinding and intention-to-treat a bias has arisen and contributed to this higher MH-OR.

Previously, DPP-4 inhibitors were positively associated with a reduction in the incidence of bone fracture in human randomized trials as compared with other anti-diabetic drugs with a MH-OR of 0.60 (95% CI 0.37-0.99, p=0.045).\textsuperscript{12} Age, sex, BMI, duration of diabetes and HbA1c at baseline were similar in this meta-analysis as compared with the present study. As GLP-1 is one of the peptides inactivated by DPP-4, one could wonder whether the reduction in bone fractures observed with short term exposure to DPP-4 inhibitors was mediated through a GLP-1-dependent mechanism. However, in the present study, we failed to highlight a significant beneficial effect of GLP-1Ra therapy on the incidence of fractures over other anti-diabetic drugs. One could wonder whether the duration of exposure to GLP-1Ra was long enough to allow bone "effects". In the present meta-analysis, the mean duration of exposure to GLP-1Ra was almost twice as those with DPP-4 inhibitors (67.4 weeks vs. 35 weeks) where a significant reduction in bone fracture has been evidenced.\textsuperscript{12} Nevertheless, stratification depending on follow-up time highlighted that longer studies (<52 weeks) presented with an MH-OR lower than studies with a follow-up period <52 weeks and almost reach statistical significance.
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A major difference between DPP-4 inhibitors and GLP-1Ra lies in weight loss. Indeed, weight loss is modest in most patients taking GLP-1Ra (\(\pm 0.8\) kg as an average in our study) whereas it is neutral in patients with DPP-4 inhibitors.\(^{26,27}\) Weight loss after the age of 50 has previously been associated with an increased risk of fracture in overweight and obese individuals.\(^{28,29}\) As the mean age of participants at baseline was 56.4 ± 1.9 years old and the mean BMI of 32.4 ± 4 kg/m\(^2\), it is plausible that beneficial effect of GLP-1 signaling in bone might be underestimated due to weight loss.

Furthermore, another difference between GLP-1Ra and DPP-4 inhibitors lies in the most common treatment-emergent adverse events: nausea, vomiting and diarrhea were often encountered with GLP-1Ra but not with DPP-4 inhibitors.\(^ {26}\) As such, it is also plausible that due to these adverse events, malabsorption of mineral and nutrients may have occurred and negatively balanced the positive effects of GLP-1Ra in bone physiology. Also, in rodents the GLP-1 receptor is expressed on C-cells of the thyroid gland and GLP-1Ra have been shown to promote calcitonin secretion and associated with the development of C-cell tumors in these animals.\(^ {30}\) However such effects has not yet been observed in humans up to two-years treatments.\(^ {31}\) As such regarding the role of calcitonin in bone biology, it is plausible that the observed anabolic effects of GLP-1 on bone in rodent models might be the results of direct bone cells- and indirect calcitonin-mediated events. Another explanation to understand the discrepancy between DPP-4 inhibitors and GLP-1Ra may reside in the bone effects of other molecules that are physiologically inactivated by DPP-4. Indeed, receptors for GIP and glucagon-like peptide 2 (GLP-2) have been evidenced at the surface of bone cells and these two molecules have been implicated in the control of bone remodeling.\(^ {32,34}\) As such, it is plausible that reduction of bone fracture occurrence observed with DPP-4 inhibitors might results from a complex network of interactions between several hormones that are physiologically inactivated by DPP-4 rather than only the rise in GLP-1.

In conclusion, the present meta-analysis failed to provide significant evidence that the use of GLP-1Ra significantly reduced the occurrence of bone fracture in T2DM as compared with other anti-diabetic agents. Nevertheless, with regards to the low number of data presently available, a more careful assessment of the incidence of fracture in ongoing trials with GLP-1Ra should be performed.
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