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The aim of the present work was to assess the merits of supercritical CO₂ (SC-CO₂) as a process for protein encapsulation into calcium carbonate microparticles. Lysozyme, chosen as a model protein, was entrapped during CaCO₃ precipitation in two different media: water (normal route) and SC-CO₂. The particles were characterized and compared in terms of size, zeta potential, morphology by SEM, crystal polymorph and lysozyme encapsulation. Fluorescent and confocal images suggested the encapsulation and core-shell distribution of lysozyme into CaCO₃ obtained by the SC-CO₂ process. A high encapsulation efficiency was reached by a supercritical CO₂ process (50%) as confirmed by the increased zeta potential value, lysozyme quantification by HPLC and a specific bioassay (*M. lysodeikticus*). Conversely, lysozyme was scarcely entrapped by the normal route (2%). Thus, supercritical CO₂ appears to be an effective process for protein encapsulation within nanostructured CaCO₃ particles. Moreover, this process may be used for encapsulation of a wide range of macromolecules and bioactive substances.

**Introduction**

Due to the increasing use of therapeutic peptides and proteins, there is a crucial need to encapsulate these molecules into microparticulate systems in order (i) to increase their stability, (ii) to obtain a controlled release or (iii) to target a specific tissue. Several protein encapsulation processes have been developed that are based on chemical and physical methods such as emulsion/solvent-extraction, coacervation, interfacial polymerization, and gel entrapment. Nevertheless, these processes face many limitations such as heat and shear stress, use of organic solvents and creating interfaces, which may denature the protein of interest. The challenge in protein encapsulation is to encapsulate into a suitable carrier using a mild process without reducing bioactivity.

Most promising delivery systems based on polymeric microparticles have fulfilled some but not all of the requirements of protein encapsulation. However, hurdles persist in the engineering of micro and nanoparticles including controlled porosity, materials biocompatibility, encapsulation efficiency and protein stability. Recent progress of inorganic porous materials as protein delivery systems has shown their tremendous capacity in protein encapsulation and release, among which are porous silica *SiO₂*, calcium phosphate, *hydroxyapatite Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆(OH)₂* (ref. 5) and calcium carbonate CaCO₃.

Calcium carbonate is the most abundant mineral in nature and it exists in three anhydrous polymorphs: vaterite, aragonite and calcite (in the order of decreasing thermodynamic stability). Calcium carbonate is generally obtained chemically by simple mixing of calcium and carbonate salts. Different strategies have been proposed to control the crystallization. They are based on mimicking biomineralization in the presence of molecular templates or additives. Most of these studies have been centred on elucidating particle formation and understanding the kinetics and mechanisms of crystallization. Due to its high loading capacity, easy preparation and low price, CaCO₃ is an effective material for protein encapsulation and drug delivery applications. This fact is supported by a number of scientific reports addressing loading of CaCO₃ with functional nanoparticles, various bioactive molecules including low-molecular weight drugs, DNA, RNA and a wide range of proteins. A number of protein loading modes into CaCO₃ microspheres have been reported, including co-precipitation (active loading), physical adsorption (passive loading), interfacial reaction, phase transition and more recently chemical adsorption. The most effective technique was protein-co-precipitation, which leads to high protein
encapsulation during the process of CaCO₃ crystal growth. Unfortunately, protein inclusion efficiency was strongly dependent on the IEP (isoelectric point), in fact positively charged proteins (IEP > 7) are difficult to encapsulate and escape easily because of repulsion with calcium carbonate in aqueous media.¹⁵

We have previously demonstrated that a supercritical CO₂ process can be used for the synthesis of hollow vaterite of CaCO₃,¹⁶–²² SAXs and USAXS experiments of these hollow spheres revealed a high specific area and hierarchical porosity including macropores and mesopores.²³ Such porous properites are key properties attractive for drug and protein encapsulation as we reported in our last paper.²⁴

Supercritical CO₂-based processes are considered to be environmentally benign and their application as potential alternatives to conventional processes has been an active field of research during the past two decades.²⁵ CO₂ is essentially nontoxic, non-inflammable and may be recycled. Its easily accessible critical conditions (T = 31.1 °C and P = 73.8 bar) combined with its low cost lead to the supercritical CO₂ process being an adequate and mild process to manipulate sensitive compounds such as therapeutic proteins. Supercritical CO₂ is indeed one of the most studied supercritical fluids for a large panel of applications including dry protein preparation, protein precipitation, microronization, designing of nano- and microparticles, liposomal formulation, drug and protein encapsulation.²⁶–²⁸ To the best of our knowledge SC-CO₂ has been proposed for the preparation of CaCO₃ particles by only a few research groups,²⁷–³⁰ and none of these studies reported drug or protein encapsulation.

In this paper, we present the preliminary investigations on the direct encapsulation of a model protein, lysozyme, into calcium carbonate microparticles using a SC-CO₂ process. We compared two different approaches for the direct encapsulation into CaCO₃ microparticles. In the first approach, lysozyme was entrapped during the growth of CaCO₃ particles in supercritical CO₂, and in aqueous medium in the second one (called normal route). CaCO₃ microparticles were thoroughly characterized in terms of morphology, size, surface charge and CaCO₃ polymorphism. The stability of the encapsulated protein, protein loading and encapsulation efficiency were also assessed. The versatility of this novel process in overcoming the barriers encountered in the normal route was revealed by this comparative study.

**Experimental section**

**Materials**

The chemicals employed here are commercially available and listed as follows. Glycine (purity ≥ 99%), dihydrated calcium chloride CaCl₂·2H₂O (≥99.0%, C3881), calcium hydroxide Ca(OH)₂ (purity 95%, C7887), sodium carbonate Na₂CO₃ (≥99.5%, S2127), hyaluronic acid (Streptococcus equi 53747, Mₚ: 600–4000 kDa), lysozyme (14 kDa, IEP = 11.1, L-6876, from chicken egg white, protein ≥90%), Micrococcus lysodeikticus (ATCC no 4698), fluorescein isothiocyanate FITC (F7250, ≥90%), Tris–HCl buffer (tris-hydroxymethyl-aminomethane 50 mM, sodium chloride NaCl 15 mM, pH = 7.4), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), and sodium chloride (NaCl) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical (Saint Quentin Fallavier, France). A filter of 0.45 μm (HVL4 type, Millipore SA, Guayncourt-France) and a filter of 0.2 μm for HPLC analysis (Acrodisc, Pall, USA) were purchased. Vecsahield® hardset mounting medium (H-1400) was obtained from Vector laboratories. Medical carbon dioxide (purity 99.99%) was obtained from Air Liquide, France. A dialysis membrane (Spectra/Pol® MWCO = 6–8 kDa) was purchased from Spectrum® Labs-France. Purified water was obtained from a MilliQ Advantage A10 system (Millipore, Paris, France). Acetone (HPLC quality) was obtained from Fischer Scientific, trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, HPLC quality 37% (w/w) was obtained from Carlo Erba, France). All samples were lyophilized in a freeze-dryer (Lyovax GT 2, Steris®, France) for 18 hours.

**Lysozyme encapsulation using a SC-CO₂ process**

The calcium solution was prepared as described previously.²² Calcium hydroxide Ca(OH)₂ (1% w/v) was added to the glycerine buffer (0.62 M NaCl and 0.62 M glycine), then the pH was adjusted to 10 and the solution was filtered through a 0.45 μm filter (final concentration of Ca(OH)₂: 108 mM). Lastly, as an anionic organic template, hyaluronic acid (Fig. 1A) was added (0.1% w/v). Besides, glycine can act like an additive and aids in vaterite formation.³¹ To prepare loaded microspheres, lysozyme was dissolved in the aqueous calcium solution at a starting concentration of 0.5 and 1.0 g L⁻¹ (Milton Roy Europe, Pont Saint Pierre-France) (2) and preheated using a heat exchanger (3) before feeding the autoclave. The
stirring speed was set at 1200 rpm, with a Teflon coated stirrer (Top-industrie, Vaux le Penil, France). Once, the equilibrium was reached (i.e. stable temperature and pressure), the previously prepared calcium aqueous solution (25 mL) was injected using an HPLC pump (4) (Model 307, Gilson, Villiers le Bel, France) with a flow rate of 10 mL min⁻¹ and a nozzle with an inner diameter of 1 mm. Once injection is achieved, the final pressure was 240 ± 5 bar and the stirring was maintained at 1200 rpm for 5 min. Thereafter, the stirring was stopped and the autoclave was depressurised at a rate of 40–50 bar min⁻¹ prior to lyophilisation of the CaCO₃ microparticles.

Lysozyme encapsulation using the normal route

Precipitation of CaCO₃ was carried out by mixing an equal volume of calcium containing solution (Ca(OH)₂ 217 mM) and carbonate containing solution (Na₂CO₃, 217 mM). The two solutions were prepared in glycine buffer as described previously. To obtain lysozyme loaded CaCO₃ particles, an appropriate amount of lysozyme (for a final concentration of 0.5 and 1.0 g L⁻¹) was added to the calcium containing solution. After stirring for 5 min, the obtained suspension was centrifuged for 10 minutes at 4000 rpm and room temperature and washed twice when particles were unloaded. Finally CaCO₃ microparticles were freeze-dried.

FITC-labeled lysozyme synthesis

The labeling reaction was performed with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC), according to the reported procedure. Briefly: FITC (33 mg) dissolved in DMSO (1.65 mL) was slowly added to a solution of lysozyme (250 mg) in Na₂CO₃ (25 mL, 0.1 M, pH 8). After 2 h of stirring in the dark at room temperature, the solution was dialyzed extensively in the dark overnight against purified water (pH 7.1) until it became colorless. The FITC-labeled lysozyme was freeze-dried and only incorporated into the calcium solution (at a starting concentration of 0.5 and 1.0 g L⁻¹) when preparing microparticles (in supercritical CO₂ and in aqueous media). CaCO₃ microparticles were washed once with 50 mL of purified water and freeze-dried before fluorescence and confocal microscopy analysis.

CaCO₃ microparticle characterization

Scanning electron microscopy. To study the morphology, the microstructure and calculate the diameter of CaCO₃ microparticles (see ES†), lyophilized samples were sputtered with gold using a high vacuum metal evaporation coater MED 020 (Bal-Tec, Balzers, Lichtenstein) and observed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM. Jeol 6301F) at an operating voltage of 3 keV.

Optical microscopy. The microparticles were dispersed in a droplet of water, directly on a slide and immediately observed under an optical microscope (Olympus, Japan) equipped with a CCD camera QIClick and a computerized image analyzer Q capture Pro 6.2 (QImaging, Briscous, France).

Light scattering instrument. Mean size and size distribution measurements of microparticles were performed using a particle size analyzer PSA in liquid medium (Malvern Mastersizer with hydro 2000S wet dispersion accessory, France). The laser diffusion intensity is recorded as a function of the angle of diffusion, and then application of the Fraunhofer diffraction and Mie scattering theories allows the size of the particles and their repartition in number and volume to be obtained. The mean number-based diameter $D_N$ was calculated from the number distribution according to eqn (1). Distribution widths were determined by the SPAN factor as expressed in eqn (2).

$$D_N = \frac{\sum (\text{frequency in number}\% \times \text{sizes})}{\sum \text{frequency in number}\%} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{SPAN} = \frac{D_{50} - D_{10}}{D_{50}} \quad (2)$$

Zeta potential. A Nanosizer ZS® (Malvern Instruments, Orsay, France) operating at 150 V and at room temperature was used to assess microparticles' electrical surface charge calculated by the Smoluchowski theory. Microparticles were dispersed in ultrapure water (Millipore, 10 mL) and mixed prior to every measurement. The zeta cell was washed with ultrapure water between every measurement.

Optical fluorescence imaging. Samples were viewed using the same microscope as below equipped with epifluorescent attachment and a mercury arc lamp light source (Olympus Provis, Japan). Fluorescence images were captured and treated with the same software.

Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM). Fluorescence microscopy was used to evaluate the distribution of FITC-lysozyme in CaCO₃ microspheres. An Olympus FV300 Laser Scanning Confocal Imaging System (Olympus, Japan), equipped with an argon ion laser (Melles Griot, Voisins Le Bretonneaux, France) and an Olympus BX50 microscope (Olympus, Japan), was used to investigate the structure and morphology of the microparticles. All confocal fluorescence pictures were taken with a 60× objective: oil immersion, numeric aperture 1.40 (numerical zoom of 2). The used software for the CLSM imaging was Fluoview®Version 3.3. (Olympus, Japan). The laser was adjusted in the green fluorescence mode, which yielded two excitation wavelengths at 488 and 543 nm. The images were obtained for dry microparticles mounted on a slide with 10 μL of Vectashield® and coverslipped.

X-ray diffraction. The crystal structures of the CaCO₃ microspheres synthesised in supercritical CO₂ or in aqueous medium were characterized by X-ray diffraction. XRD analysis was carried out using an X-pert diffractometer (CuKα1,2 doublet, $\lambda = 1.54056$ Å, from 2θ = 10 to 70° in continuous mode with a step size of 0.07°).
encapsulation efficiency (EE%, eqn (3)). Quantification was always performed in triplicate for each microsphere batch. Before each measurement, unloaded and lysozyme-loaded microspheres (5 mg) were dissolved by contact with HCl (1.5 mL, 0.1 M) for 10 min.

\[
\text{LL\%} = \frac{\text{quantified amount of lysozyme}}{\text{mg of microparticles}} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{EE\%} = \frac{\text{quantified lysozyme in microparticles}}{\text{initial amount of lysozyme in calcium solution}} \times 100
\]

1 Total protein quantification by HPLC (high performance liquid chromatography). This method is based on specific interaction of the lysozyme with the stationary phase and not related to the activity of the lysozyme. Thus it allows the quantification of lysozyme independently of the protein activity. HPLC assay was conducted using a PLRP-column (polymeric reversed phase column; PLRP-S 250 4.6 mm, 300 Å pore size, 5 μm particle size; Agilent, Massy, France) and followed by detection with a photodiode array detector (PDA 996, Waters, Guyancourt-France) set at 280 nm (ref. 33). An absorbance spectrum was taken between 210 and 400 nm in order to confirm the identity of the peak and as such guarantee the specificity of the analysis. The system was equipped with a mobile phase delivery pump (600, Waters, Guyancourt, France), an auto sampler (717 Plus, Waters, Guyancourt, France), an on-line degasser (AF, Waters, Guyancourt, France) and a column oven (CHM, Waters, Guyancourt, France) set at 45 °C.

Typically, the filtered sample (50 μL) was injected into the column. The flow rate was 1 mL min\(^{-1}\) and the mobile phase consisted of a gradient of water and acetonitrile both containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (v/v). Eluting conditions expressed as proportion of water with 0.1% TFA were as follows: 0–10 min: 69%, 19 min: 49.3%, 20 min: 25%, 20–21 min: 25%, 22 min: 69%, 22–30 min: 69%. The lysozyme peak was identified in the chromatograms at a retention time of 20 min. Calibration curves were drawn up from 1.5 to 800 μg mL\(^{-1}\) in the mobile phase.

2 Bioassay for active lysozyme quantification. This assay is based on the hydrolysis of β-1,4 glycosidic linkages between N-acetylglucosamine and N-acetylmuramic acid in bacterial cell walls of Micrococcus lysodeikticus, caused by the lysozyme, which results in a decreased bacterial suspension turbidity.\(^{24}\) The bacterial suspension (0.015% w/v) was prepared by adding 30 mg of M. Lysodeikticus in 200 mL Tris–HCl buffer (pH 7.4) and incubated at 37 °C for one hour. Then lysozyme-loaded microspheres (5 mg) were dissolved by contact with HCl (1.5 mL, 0.1 M) for 10 min and diluted in Tris–HCl buffer to reach a concentration of 0.1 to 1 μg mL\(^{-1}\) of lysozyme. Lysozyme solution (100 μL) of samples or standards (0.1 to 1 μg mL\(^{-1}\) was added to M. Lysodeikticus suspension (2.9 mL) in polypropylene tubes (Gosselin, Fisher Scientific) and incubated for 4 hours at 37 °C. The turbidity was finally assessed by measuring the absorbance at 450 nm (UV2600 UV-Vis spectrophotometer equipped with a UV Probe 2.42 software, Shimadzu, Marne la Vallée, France).

**Statistical analysis.** All the data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Differences were analyzed statistically by Student’s t-test. The acceptable level of statistical significance was set at a probability of less than 0.05.

**Results and discussion**

**Comparison of morphology, size and zeta potential**

Morphologies of CaCO\(_3\) particles prepared by both the supercritical process and the normal route are depicted in optical micrographs (Fig. 1, ESI†) and SEM images in (Fig. 2). Unloaded CaCO\(_3\) microspheres formulated by the supercritical process (Fig. 2A) had spherical shapes with relatively rough surfaces as observed in our previous work.\(^{22}\) The microspheres are constituted of an assembly of vaterite nanograins (about 40 nm in width) and exhibit a specific surface of 16 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) with a mesoporosity of around 11% as recently reported.\(^{23}\) When lysozyme was encapsulated (at 0.5 and 1 g L\(^{-1}\) initial concentration), similar CaCO\(_3\) particles with spherical shapes were obtained (Fig. 2A). The same morphology with a smaller size was observed for the microparticles obtained by the normal route as already reported by other research groups.\(^{5,15,16,35,36}\)

Mean size and size distribution of CaCO\(_3\) microspheres were measured using PSA as shown in Fig. 2 (ESI†). Granulometric curves averaged over 5 measurements runs (3 batches) indicate a non-symmetric and strongly unimodal particle size distribution for all microparticles, with variability of size distribution, number percentage and modal peak size. It is noteworthy that almost no microparticles displayed a diameter higher than 10 μm. Cross-checking the results from size distribution is achieved by comparing the behavior of mean diameter and statistical parameters including the mode and percentiles, among which \(D_{10}, D_{50}, D_{90}\) and the SPAN are listed in Table 1 (ESI†).

For CaCO\(_3\) microspheres obtained by a supercritical process there was no significant influence of the lysozyme and lysozyme concentration on particle mean diameter (ESI†, Table 1). However, statistical analysis of mode, median and percentiles indicates a slight decrease in particle diameter when encapsulating lysozyme, resulting in different particle size distributions (ESI†, Fig. 2). The presence of proteins affects the crystallization process thus leading to a decrease in the microparticle size as demonstrated by Petrov et al.\(^{6}\) Lysozyme adsorbs on the surface of primary nanocrystallites and increases the nucleation rate, thus resulting in a decrease of the mean diameter of CaCO\(_3\) particles.\(^{7}\)

In the same study it was also reported that changing agitation time may increase the particle size, thus underlining that there are several parameters involved in the size control of CaCO\(_3\) in the supercritical CO\(_2\) process. Particles obtained by the normal route were about 3 μm in diameter but adding lysozyme slightly increases the particle mean diameter as well as percentiles (ESI†, Table 1), although CaCO\(_3\) microparticles exhibited the same mode, median and thus the same size distribution (ESI†, Fig. 2). According to the literature, CaCO\(_3\) exhibits negative or positive charges depending on its
polymorph morphology and composition. Vaterite polymorph mostly bears positive charges and calcite bears negative charges. Unloaded CaCO₃ microparticles (IEP = 8.5 (ref. 39)) obtained by both the supercritical CO₂ process and the normal route are essentially made of vaterite and exhibit a negative zeta potential in ultra-purified water (Table 1). This could be explained by the presence of the polyanionic glycosaminoglycan: hyaluronic acid (pKₐ = 3.0). The zeta-potential of CaCO₃ obtained by the supercritical process was −20.8 ± 2.5 mV and increases to −12.1 ± 0.7 and −6.7 ± 2.2 mV at 0.5 g L⁻¹ and 1.0 g L⁻¹ lysozyme starting concentration respectively. This can result from the encapsulation of the positively charged lysozyme (IEP = 11.1) into CaCO₃. By contrast, the zeta-potential of CaCO₃ obtained by the normal route was constant (−14 mV) whatever the initial concentration of lysozyme. It is also expected that no lysozyme was encapsulated in CaCO₃ using the normal route. These assumptions were confirmed by CLSM images, and lysozyme quantification.

Fluorescence micrographs and CLSM observations in Fig. 2B proved the successful lysozyme-FITC encapsulation into CaCO₃ using the supercritical CO₂ process. Whereas no labeled lysozyme was entrapped by the normal route, specifically core-shell structured microparticles obtained from ref. 23. These microparticles were essentially observed under a scanning electron microscope, and the CaCO₃ microparticles were constituted of macro- and mesoporous shells with hollow cores. While for most of microparticles obtained by the normal route, no fluorescence was observed inside the particles but outside a fluorescent shell surrounding the microparticles. Consequently we suppose that lysozyme-FITC could be adsorbed at the particle surface, by means of electrostatic interactions.

Several studies aimed at controlling the morphology of CaCO₃ (towards calcite, aragonite or vaterite), either by increasing the CO₂ pressure, by ultrasonic irradiation or by addition of macromolecules in the aqueous phase. In this study, all CaCO₃ particles obtained by either the supercritical process or the normal route consisted of almost pure vaterite as revealed by XRD analysis (ESI†). These results suggest that the presence of lysozyme, lysozyme concentration and the used process did not cause any changes in CaCO₃ polymorph. In our case, vaterite morphology may be driven by the presence of glycine.

![Fig. 2](image-url) (A) Morphologies of unloaded and lysozyme-loaded microspheres under a scanning electron microscope, CaCO₃ microspheres formulated by the SC-CO₂ process and by the normal route. Lysozyme-loaded microparticles appeared spherical, with a rough surface and an average size ranging from 1.8 μm to 4.9 μm. (B) Fluorescent micrographs and CLSM images showing the distribution of FITC labeled lysozyme into CaCO₃ microspheres obtained by the SC-CO₂ process and the normal route, the inset represents SEM images of broken microparticles obtained from ref. 23.

Table 1  Overview of microspheres characteristics, lysozyme loading and encapsulation efficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Lysozyme concentration (g L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Zeta potential (mV)</th>
<th>Total lysozyme loading (%)</th>
<th>Active lysozyme loading (%)</th>
<th>Encapsulation yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supercritical CO₂ process</td>
<td>0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>−20.8 ± 2.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>−12.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.5</td>
<td>22.1 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>−6.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>50.5 ± 9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal route</td>
<td>0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>−14.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>−14.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>−14.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Experiment without lysozyme, results are represented as means ± s.d. of 3 measurements. <sup>b</sup> As determined by HPLC. <sup>c</sup> By <i>M. lysodeikticus</i> bioassay.
We observed that if we inject the solution of calcium without
glycine during the supercritical CO₂ process, CaCO₃ precipitates
in the phase of calcite as revealed by XRD (data not shown).
Thus the presence of glycine is important in order to stabilize
the vaterite phase. Moreover, hyaluronic acid acts as an organic
template and control the crystal growth of thermodynamically
unstable CaCO₃ vaterite.⁴⁵

Proteins are also known to play an important role in the
crystallization of calcium carbonate.⁴⁶ CaCO₃ can crystallize in
the presence of bovine serum albumin (BSA),⁴⁷ fibrin,⁴⁸ lyso-
zyme,⁴⁸ casein⁴⁹ and gelatin.⁵⁰ Besides CaCO₃ crystallisation is
not only dependent on the presence, concentration and nature
of additives and/or molecular templates, but it is also highly
dependent on the experimental parameters such as tempera-
ture,⁵¹ stirring, reaction time,⁵² pressure and pH.⁵¹

There is another important parameter depending on the
polymorph which is $K_{sp}$. Its magnitude is the product of the
Ca²⁺ and CO₃²⁻ ion concentrations that are just high enough to
achieve a saturated solution in which the ions are in equilib-
rium with solid CaCO₃. At 25 °C and 1 bar, $K_{sp(calcite)} = 10^{-8.47}$
and $K_{sp(arteronite)} = 10^{-8.22}$. For vaterite, the least stable of
the three polymorphs, $K_{sp}$ is smaller (10⁻⁷.⁹). Although referred
to as a constant, $K_{sp}$ varies with temperature, salinity and also
with pressure. It has been observed that $K_{sp}$ of aragonite is about 2.7
times larger at 1000 bar.⁵³ As a consequence, this suggests that
deep ocean may be undersaturated with calcium carbonate. For
calcite, the same evolution of $K_{sp}$ has been observed. According
to our knowledge, no study of $K_{sp}$ as a function of pressure was
reported for vaterite. If we suppose that $K_{sp}$ increases with
pressure like for calcite and aragonite, the precipitation of the
CaCO₃ vaterite particles may be less important under pressure.

The crystallization mechanism occurring in the supercritical
CO₂ process is still under investigation by our research groups.
Time-resolved small-angle and wide-angle X-ray scattering
experiments (SAXS/WAXS) were conducted for the first time on
the mixture of Ca⁴⁺ aqueous solution and SC-CO₂ in a continu-
ous flow, completed by in situ pH monitoring, Raman spec-
troscopy and turbidity measurements using a high-pressure cell
(work is still in progress).

Comparison of lysozyme loading and encapsulation yield

The LL and EE are summarized in Table 1. Two different
methods were used to quantify the amount of protein encaps-
ulated within CaCO₃ microspheres. The HPLC method was
used to quantify the total amount of encapsulated lysozyme,
while M. Lysodeikticus assay was used to quantify the amount of
bioactive encapsulated lysozyme. We observed the successful
and highly efficient encapsulation of lysozyme (LL almost 6% and
EE = 50% for 1.0 g L⁻¹ starting concentration) during the
SC-CO₂ process as confirmed by both HPLC and M. Lysodeikticus
quantification methods. Total and active EE are nearly the
same, thus lysozyme retains almost 100% of its activity after
encapsulation by the SC-CO₂ process. Decreasing the initial
lysozyme concentration to 0.5 g L⁻¹ leads to a decrease of LL
and EE. It is noteworthy that loading efficiency is a crucial
parameter that we could easily tune by varying the starting
lysozyme concentration. Concerning the normal route, as
shown in Table 1 the lysozyme was scarcely encapsulated. The
LL is <1% and the EE is around 1 and 2% for the two starting
concentrations (0.5 g L⁻¹ and 1.0 g L⁻¹ respectively).

We can compare lysozyme loading of microparticles
obtained by the SC-CO₂ process and the normal route at the
same starting lysozyme concentration: 1.0 and 0.5 g L⁻¹. The
first process allowed a higher encapsulation efficiency and a
high conservation of lysozyme activity. To explain such dif-
ferences, we infer that the key parameter for lysozyme encapsu-
lation could be the pH. During the normal route, the pH
remained stable (≈10), which is close to the lysozyme’s IEP
(11.1). The lysozyme is also slightly positively charged and as a
consequence the electrostatic interaction is weak with
carbonate ions. Conversely, in the SC-CO₂ process, the disso-
lution of acid CO₂ molecules in the aqueous solution induces a
significant decrease of the pH. After the depressurisation, the
pH is around 6.5 which shows that under pressure, the pH is
below this value. The interaction of lysozyme is then higher
with CO₃²⁻ which favors lysozyme entrapment inside CaCO₃
particles.

During CaCO₃ precipitation, it is well known that the pH
influences the formation of CaCO₃ due to its impact on
carbonate concentration CO₃²⁻ and thus the supersaturation.
Besides the pH influences the charges of both lysozyme and
CaCO₃, as a consequence it affects electrostatic interactions that
govern lysozyme entrapment. However, we also observed that
lysozyme is not encapsulated by the normal route even when the
precipitation is done at pH = 6.5. This highlights that the
encapsulation within CaCO₃ microspheres is not only governed
by the pH. The versatility of SC-CO₂ requires the understand-
ing of lysozyme encapsulation by in situ analyses, which are still
under investigation by our research group.

Active loading in aqueous media has been used for protein
encapsulation.⁵⁵ High encapsulation efficiencies were reported
for negatively charged proteins, α-lactalbumin (96%), HSA
(93%) and IgG (94%), with both low and high molecular weight.
Though, <1% of positively charged lysozyme was entrapped
in CaCO₃ cores. The reason for this, as explained by the authors,
was that both CaCO₃ and lysozyme were positively charged, so
electrostatic repulsions inhibited the adsorption of lysozyme on
the CaCO₃ surface and thus the loading. Interestingly, a study
described the encapsulation of small molecules, as well as
proteins, within CaCO₃ nanoparticles using this conventional
method, but neither loading nor encapsulation efficiency data
were available in the case of the studied proteins.⁵⁶ In this study
it was shown that active loading of erythropoietin ([Mₓ = 34 kDa,
pKᵣ = 3.3–4.3] into CaCO₃ nanoparticles was more effective
than passive loading. In contrast, passive loading processes
onto CaCO₃ particles based on the impregnation of preformed
CaCO₃ microspheres may only be efficient with small
compounds that are able to diffuse within the pores. Further-
more, molecular interactions between the CaCO₃ surface and
the protein is an important parameter. The adsorption is not
only affected by the global electrostatic forces⁵⁷ but is also
dominated by steric interactions, such as changes in the
hydration state and rearrangement of the macromolecular
structure. Petrov et al.\textsuperscript{9} compared two different ways to prepare protein loaded CaCO\textsubscript{3} microparticles, physical adsorption and co-precipitation. In this study it was found that co-precipitation-\textalpha-chymotrypsin capture by CaCO\textsubscript{3} microparticles was five times more effective than the adsorption. In addition the encapsulated \textalpha-chymotrypsin recovered 85\% of the enzymatic activity.

An interesting study describes that the use of the interfacial reaction based on water-in-oil-in-water (W/O/W) emulsion leads to a successful encapsulation of ovalbumin (43 kDa) and bovine serum albumin (BSA, 66 kDa) into CaCO\textsubscript{3} microcapsules,\textsuperscript{12} encapsulation efficiency was 36\%, and 92\% respectively. It is noteworthy that these authors demonstrated that the molecular weight of the protein to be encapsulated was proportional to the encapsulation yield. However, using this strategy, papain (20 kDa) was scarcely encapsulated (5.2\%) and no inclusion of lysozyme was found. A few years later,\textsuperscript{19} they demonstrated that proteins could be encapsulated during the phase transition of the microspheres from vaterite to calcite, independent of their molecular weight. They succeeded in small protein encapsulation (lysozyme and insulin) with only 0.2\% of lysozyme loading corresponding to an encapsulation efficiency of 17\%.

Using the SC-CO\textsubscript{2} process, we successfully obtained a high encapsulation efficiency of lysozyme. This in addition permitted to retain lysozyme biological activity. Here we compared two solvent free processes for direct encapsulation of lysozyme into CaCO\textsubscript{3} microparticles, we proved that SC-CO\textsubscript{2} plays an important role in encapsulating a higher amount of lysozyme. The efficiency is expected to be enhanced if we enhance CaCO\textsubscript{3} particle formation by increasing the concentration of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, because more particles are formed when more proteins are captured by CaCO\textsubscript{3} microparticles.\textsuperscript{16}

SC-CO\textsubscript{2} has been largely used for protein precipitation and processes,\textsuperscript{18} it was demonstrated that a supercritical CO\textsubscript{2} process offers optimal conditions in terms of protein stability and integrity.\textsuperscript{37} Furthermore we need more investigation to understand the dynamics of lysozyme adsorption on calcium carbonate during its crystallization in supercritical media, and protein behaviour in liquid–solid–supercritical CO\textsubscript{2} interfaces. For future applications, it is mandatory that more attempts should be made to optimize the supercritical CO\textsubscript{2} process in order to improve protein loading and efficiency through an experimental design.

**Proposed mechanism for microparticle formation and lysozyme encapsulation**

The mechanism of growth and crystallisation is under debate. Two mechanisms are encountered in the literature to explain the growth and the crystallisation of vaterite hollow microspheres. Some authors\textsuperscript{54} proposed that vaterite microspheres are formed by the self-assembly of nanoparticles (i.e. nano-aggregation). Others\textsuperscript{59–61} proposed that there is first the formation of metastable amorphous calcium carbonate (ACC) microspheres followed simultaneously by their progressive dissolution and a re-precipitation/crystallisation of vaterite around the ACC template. In our study, we observed that lysozyme is trapped in the hollow core of the CaCO\textsubscript{3} particles. We can also imagine that first ACC is formed (Fig. 3). The high interaction of the positively charged lysozyme with CO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{–} favours the presence of the protein inside the ACC particles. Then the dissolution of ACC and the rapid precipitation of vaterite around the ACC particles can explain the trapping of lysozyme in the hollow core of the microspheres.

**Conclusions**

This work is a starting point of protein encapsulation into CaCO\textsubscript{3} microparticles using the supercritical CO\textsubscript{2} process as an innovative strategy. Lysozyme, our model protein, has been used to establish the comparison of its encapsulation during CaCO\textsubscript{3} growth in supercritical CO\textsubscript{2} and in aqueous media. First, CaCO\textsubscript{3} microparticles obtained by the supercritical CO\textsubscript{2} process were spherical of about 5 \textmu m with hollow cores, a rough surface, and composed essentially of vaterite. Using the normal route leads to CaCO\textsubscript{3} microparticles of about 3 \textmu m with the same polymorph composition. Secondly, when loading lysozyme, there were no changes in morphologies or crystal composition, but only slight differences in sizes. Finally, high encapsulation efficiencies were obtained with the first process (50\%) with high preserved activity (94\%). The encapsulation yield can be attributed to the low pH of the aqueous solution, which favours electrostatic interactions between the positively charged lysozyme (IEP = 11.1) and calcium carbonate (IEP = 8.5). Furthermore, during the co-precipitation in the SC-CO\textsubscript{2} process, the lysozyme is mainly

---

**Fig. 3** Proposed mechanism for microparticle formation and lysozyme encapsulation, ACC for amorphous calcium carbonate.
entrapped inside the hollow core of CaCO$_3$ microparticles, which is an advantage for low kinetic release. From a more fundamental point of view, we hypothesized that the precipitation of calcium carbonate may take place through an amorphous CaCO$_3$/lysozyme precipitation followed by dissolution and crystallisation of vaterite around this metastable polymorph. We also observed an important impact of the lysozyme starting concentration on encapsulation loading and efficiency. Conversely lysozyme was scarcely entrapped in the normal route, probably due to the weak interaction of calcium carbonate and lysozyme at pH = 10. Herein we reported a best approach to encapsulate lysozyme and preserve its activity as high as possible. However, this process should be extended to other proteins including growth factors and other bioactive substances.
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